lavery/pennell

New Industrial Model

Supporting Calculations for the New Industrial Model Report

Contents

Interface Improvements

Potential for Europe

Potential for Top 20 European Manufacturers

Methodology for Interface Calculations

- European manufacturing operations examined
- Actual data used:
 - $-2012 \ \mbox{full}$ year costs and quantities
 - -Adjusted to include renewable energy contracted for 2014
 - 1996 quantities (for baseline and to normalise for changes in production volumes)
- Figures cross-checked against company total figures
- Renewable energy costs compared with industry figures

€7.6M annual cost savings were achieved in 2012 by Interface Europe using the New Industrial Model



35,500 tCO₂e were also saved in 2012 compared to 1996



3 jobs were created through Interface Europe's switch to renewable energy

Approach

- Fossil fuel (coal and gas) employment rate of 0.11 jobs/GWh
 - Also assumed to apply for nuclear
- Average figure for wind and biomass employment of 0.205 jobs/GWh
- Difference (i.e. uplift of 0.095 jobs/GWh) used in calculations
- E.g. for Interface, jobs = electricity use of 32.7GWh p.a. x 0.095 jobs/GWh = 3 jobs



Source: Kammen, D.M., Kapadia, K., Fripp, M., 2006. Putting Renewables to Work: How Many Jobs Can the Clean Energy Industry Generate?, RAEL Report, University of California Berkeley. Available at http://rael.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/very-old-site/renewables.jobs.2006.pdf

Contents

Interface improvements

Potential for Europe

Potential for Top 20 European Manufacturers

Methodology for Europe Calculations

- World Bank statistics used
 - -2012 figures or most recent available used
 - -Statistics used were GHG emissions, GDP, manufacturing GVA, energy use
- Energy spend of 1.9% of revenue found for the UK in the Next Manufacturing Revolution study* and used for all European countries
- Empirical evidence from around the world of savings achieved were researched
 - This included drawing on the resource efficiency data contained within the 2013 Next Manufacturing report*co-authored by the University of Cambridge's Institute for Manufacturing
- Renewable energy jobs calculated as done for Interface using uplift of 0.095 jobs/GWh

^{*} Lavery, G., Pennell, N., Brown, S., Evans, S., 2013. The Next Manufacturing Revolution: Non-Labour Resource Productivity and its Potential for UK Manufacturing. Available at <u>http://www.nextmanufacturingrevolution.org/nmr-report-download/</u>

Europe was defined as the 51 countries in the continent

Countries Included in Europe Figures

Albania	Fi
Andorra	G
Armenia	G
Austria	G
Azerbaijan	Н
Belarus	lc
Belgium	Ir
Bosnia and Herzegovina	ls
Bulgaria	lt
Channel Islands	K
Croatia	La
Cyprus	Li
Czech Republic	Li
Denmark	Lu
Estonia	N
Faeroe Islands	N
Finland	N

rance ieorgia iermany ireece lungary celand reland sle of Man aly azakhstan atvia iechtenstein ithuania uxembourg *A*acedonia, FYR **Aoldova** *l*onaco

Montenegro Netherlands Norway Poland Portugal Romania **Russian Federation** San Marino Serbia **Slovak Republic** Slovenia Spain Sweden Switzerland Turkey Ukraine United Kingdom

A 20% energy efficiency improvement opportunity was used – consistent with the literature



Source: Lavery, G., Pennell, N., Brown, S., Evans, S., 2013. The Next Manufacturing Revolution: Non-Labour Resource Productivity and its Potential for UK Manufacturing, p. 34. Available at http://www.nextmanufacturingrevolution.org/nmr-report-download/

Sub-sectoral analysis by the Next Manufacturing Revolution also found a 20% saving opportunity in the UK



Sources: Department of Energy and Climate Change, Energy Consumption UK 2010, 2012; Office of National Statistics, Detailed Indices of Production, 2011; Next Manufacturing Revolution Survey responses; Literature review

The number of energy efficiency jobs was based on empirical evidence

Approach

- 6.5 jobs per €1M investment in energy efficiency used (see graph) – conservative figure used
- Energy equipment assumed to last for 10 years, after which it is replaced at further capital cost
- Therefore 6.5/10 = 0.65 ongoing fulltime jobs per €1M investment in energy efficiency
- This 0.65 figure used for the calculation of energy efficiency jobs



Source: Lavery, G., Pennell, N., Brown, S., Evans, S. ,2013. The Next Manufacturing Revolution: Non-Labour Resource Productivity and its Potential for UK Manufacturing, p. 35. Available at <u>http://www.nextmanufacturingrevolution.org/nmr-report-download/</u>

Materials efficiency opportunities were calculated using the research findings of the Next Manufacturing Revolution for the UK

Approach

- The Next Manufacturing Revolution study found £6.53B p.a. in materials savings opportunity between average and good practice on a subsector basis for UK manufacturing (see graph)
- Total UK manufacturing sector revenue in 2011 was £511.9B in 2011
- £6.53B represents 1.28% of UK manufacturing revenue
- For comparison, 1.28% of revenue is less than half of the saving achieved by Interface by reducing yarn use by 12%
- This 1.28% saving was used to calculate the materials efficiency opportunity across European manufacturers

Materials Efficiency Savings Identified in the Next Manufacturing Revolution Study for the UK



Sources: Lavery, G., Pennell, N., Brown, S., Evans, S., 2013. The Next Manufacturing Revolution: Non-Labour Resource Productivity and its Potential for UK Manufacturing, p. 35. Available at http://www.nextmanufacturingrevolution.org/nmr-report-download/; Office for National Statistics, 2012. Annual Business Survey, Section C Manufacturing, release date 15 November.

A renewable energy cost premium of 20% was used

Approach

- Governments set support levels for renewables to provide a modest return on investment, thereby ensuring value for the taxpayer and avoiding profiteering
 - This is usually of the order of a 7 year payback period (i.e. 14% IRR)
- However, renewable energy project developers often find this level of return inadequate to cover the level of risk involved
- A 20% price premium for the renewable energy produced increases the IRR to a more commercial 17%
- Therefore a 20% price premium for renewable energy is assumed
- 20% is more conservative than the 10% premium being paid by Interface for its renewable energy in Europe

To be conservative, renewable energy GHG emission savings were not counted where countries have >80% low emission generation

Approach

- Where countries had less than 20% of their electricity generated from coal, natural gas or oil in 2011, no greenhouse gas emission savings were counted
- Note: France, Sweden and Switzerland have significant nuclear generation so the cost of switching to renewable energy was included in the cost calculations for these countries – but not the GHG savings

Countries with <20% Generation from Coal, Gas or Oil in 2011

- Albania
- France
- Iceland
- Norway
- Sweden
- Switzerland

Source: World Bank, 2013. Environment 3.7 World Development Indicators: Electricity production, sources, and access. Available at http://wdi.worldbank.org/table/3.7#

For European manufacturing, a potential €100B p.a. of economic benefits could be created by the New Industrial Model



1,188 MtCO₂e p.a. saving in the European manufacturing sector, or 14.6% of Europe's total GHG emissions, is possible



168,000 skilled jobs could be created in Europe



Contents

Interface improvements

Potential for Europe

Potential for Top 20 European Manufacturers

Methodology for top 20 European Manufacturer Calculations

Approach

- Examined top 20 manufacturers based in Europe by revenues
- Data gathered from annual and sustainability reports for most recent years available
- Energy spend per company was calculated using the average energy spend as a % of revenue for the relevant manufacturing sub-sector determined in the Next Manufacturing Revolution analysis
- Assumed that 32% of energy use is electricity
 - 32% is the figure for the UK manufacturing sector according to DECC statistics for 2010
- Examined the potential of the New Industrial Model for each company separately, taking into account the progress of each on energy efficiency, uptake of renewable energy and sources of electricity in their home countries
- Energy efficiency jobs, RE jobs RE cost premium, and material efficiency opportunity were calculated using the methodology used for the whole-of-Europe figures
- No GHG savings from RE assumed for companies headquartered in countries with <20% generation from coal, gas or oil

Top 20 European Manufacturers

Volkswagen	EADS
Daimler	Unilever
Siemens	Novartis
BASF	Renault
BMW	Saint-Gobain
ArceloMittal	Nokia
Nestle	LyondellBasell
Peugeot	Bayer
Bosch	Hoffmann-La Roche
ThyssenKrupp	Sanofi

Sources: Company Annual Reports; DECC, 2010. Energy Consumption in the UK, Table 4.6c(i) Industrial Energy Consumption at two digit SIC2007 level by fuel type,; http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_largest_European_companies_by_revenue 19

Energy spend for each country was calculated based on sub-sector average figures for the UK

Approach

- The Next Manufacturing Revolution study examined the energy spend for each manufacturing sub-sector for the UK
- These figures were used for Europeanheadquartered manufacturers on the basis that their technology mix and uptake of energy efficiency is likely to be similar to that of companies within the UK
- Figures applied as appropriate for the primary business of the individual company



Energy Spend by Sub-Sector

Source: Lavery, G., Pennell, N., Brown, S., Evans, S. ,2013. The Next Manufacturing Revolution: Non-Labour Resource Productivity and its Potential for UK Manufacturing, p. 35. Available at <u>http://www.nextmanufacturingrevolution.org/nmr-report-download/</u>

The Next Manufacturing Revolution study showed the range of energy savings achieved historically by blue chip companies



Note: Un-named datapoints are companies who provided data confidentially or are not named for other reasons; all named companies are plotted based on publicly available information.

Sources: Next Manufacturing Revolution Survey; Next Manufacturing Revolution literature review; Department of Energy and Climate Change, 2012. Energy Consumption UK 2010; Office of National Statistics, 2011. Detailed Indices of Production.

Good practice companies in many sub-sectors were found to have achieved over 40% savings over time horizons of 10 to 20 years



Source: Lavery, G., Pennell, N., Brown, S., Evans, S., 2013. The Next Manufacturing Revolution: Non-Labour Resource Productivity and its Potential for UK Manufacturing, p. 29. Available at <u>http://www.nextmanufacturingrevolution.org/nmr-report-download/</u>

Energy efficiency opportunity calculations were done by company to allow for their historical improvements

45% 40% 35% Where this figure 30% was not available, 24% 20% was used 25% (varied by company) 20% 40% 15% 10% 16% 5% (varied by company) 0% Energy efficiency potential Saving by company to date Remaining opportunity (used

(from company sustainability

reports)

Example Calculation of Energy Efficiency Opportunity for a Top 20 European Manufacturer

to estimate potential)

Renewable energy opportunity calculations similarly incorporated renewable energy already being used by each company



Example Calculation of Renewable Energy Opportunity for a Top 20 European Manufacturer

€10.9B p.a. of economic benefits were identified for the top 20 European manufacturers from the New Industrial Model



288 MtCO₂e p.a. could be saved by the top 20 European manufacturers, which is 3.5% of Europe's annual GHG emissions



33,700 skilled jobs could be created by Europe's top 20 manufacturers



Further Resources

- The New Industrial Model report can be accessed at <u>http://www.interfaceflor.co.uk/web/sustainability/newindustrialmodel</u>
- The full Next Manufacturing Revolution report can be downloaded from <u>http://www.nextmanufacturingrevolution.org/nmr-report-download/</u>
- More information on Interface is available at <u>http://interfaceglobal.com/</u>